



WESTERN BCP PLANNING COMMITTEE – 6th November 2025

ADDENDUM SHEET

6a

10 Egdon Drive Poole BH21 1TY
P/25/01431/FUL

Update:

Amendment to paragraph 37 of the planning committee report.

Paragraph 37 - "With regard to comings and goings, the applicant's supporting planning statement notes that shifts would last 24 or 48 hours, with two members of staff present overnight **(one will be awake at night and one sleeping)** and handover time would be around 8 or 9am. Day to day trips would include activities such as shopping, school runs and social outings for the children would be undertaken by the carers".

6b

Carisbrooke, 172 Canford Cliffs Road, Poole
P/25/00170/FUL

Update:

Reason for Referral to Planning Committee: Councillor Gavin Wright Call-in for the following reasons:

- Out of character with the surrounding area.
- Potential conflicts with the Local Plan.
- Negative impact on the amenity of the residents.
- Incongruous to the general street scene.
- Detrimental effect on protected trees.
- Loss of light to neighbouring property.

Page 37, para 26. Should state: Tree Officer—No objection

Condition 2 Approved Plans: Add the following Plans:

- 9491-701 Proposed Basement & Ground Floor
- 9491-702S Proposed Site Plan

- 9491-707 C Proposed Bin Store Plans / Indicative Street Scene & CGI

Appropriate Assessment

Applicable to development in Poole Local Plan area

Application Ref: P/25/00170/FUL

Address: 172 Canford Cliffs Road, Poole, BH13 7ES



Site Proposal: Major application for the demolition of existing buildings and erection of a single block of 44 apartments, with associated access, basement parking and cycle/bin storage; and relocate substation

In accordance with the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017 (“The Habitats Regulations”) and findings of *People Over Wind & Sweetman v Coillte Teoranta* (Case C-323/17), Bournemouth, Christchurch and Poole Council (BCP Council) has concluded that, in the absence of mitigation the above application will have a likely significant effect on the European wildlife sites identified below (including Ramsar sites where relevant), arising from identified impact pathways.

In accordance with the Habitats Regulations, this document provides an appropriate assessment, which includes checking and confirming that avoidance and mitigation measures can be secured to prevent adverse effects on the integrity of the European sites identified below. This project level appropriate assessment has been undertaken to check that the proposal provides the necessary measures to prevent adverse effects on site integrity in accordance with the following strategic mitigation schemes:

- Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework Supplementary Planning Document (SPD);
- Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy;
- Nitrogen Reduction in Poole Harbour SPD; and
- Poole Harbour Recreation SPD.

These strategic mitigation schemes set out avoidance/mitigation measures that are supported by an extensive and tested evidence base which has been scrutinised at various levels from planning appeals, public consultation processes and Habitats Regulations Assessments prepared for local plans or projects.

The proposal is assessed against the likely significant effects as follows:

Designated site	Applicable plan area	Likely Significant Effect?	Adverse effects caused by:
-----------------	----------------------	----------------------------	----------------------------

• Dorset Heathlands SPA • Dorset Heathlands Ramsar • Dorset Heaths SAC • Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) & Studland Dunes SAC	BCP (Bournemouth, Christchurch & Poole)¹	Yes	The proximity of urban development and its related effects including recreational pressures, arson, enrichment, etc. which arise from this development, requires measures to avoid and mitigate the effects. The impact of residential development on these sites and the suitability and robustness of avoidance and mitigation measures has already been considered as set out in the Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework 2020 - 2025 SPD, the Dorset Heathlands Interim Air Quality Strategy - Phase 2 Interim Measures for 2020-2025, the underpinning evidence base and plan level HRA work.
• Poole Harbour SPA • Poole Harbour Ramsar	P (Poole)	Yes	Nutrient enrichment arising from within the harbour catchment from a number of sources acting in combination, including that arising from the increasing population related to additional residential developments, the proposal requires measures to avoid and mitigate the effects. Furthermore, the proximity of new development to Poole Harbour is also likely to add further recreational pressure upon Poole Harbour as a result of this development. The proposal therefore requires measures to avoid and mitigate the effects. The impact of residential development on these sites and the suitability and robustness of avoidance and mitigation measures has already been considered and are set out in the Nitrogen Reduction in Poole Harbour SPD, Poole Harbour Recreation 2019-2024 SPD, and the underpinning evidence base and plan level HRA work.

¹ Area covered by latest local plan – B: Bournemouth Core Strategy (2012), C: Christchurch and East Dorset Local Plan (2014), P: Poole Local Plan (2018)

Having concluded that the application will have a likely significant effect in the absence of avoidance and mitigation measures on the Dorset Heathlands and Poole Harbour designated sites, this document represents the Appropriate Assessment undertaken by BCP Council as Competent Authority in accordance with requirements under Regulation 63 of the Conservation of Habitats and Species Regulations 2017, Article 6 (3) of the Habitats Directive and having due regard to its duties under Section 40(1) of the NERC Act 2006 to the purpose of conserving biodiversity. Consideration of European wildlife sites is a matter of government policy set out in the National Planning Policy Framework.

Part 1: Compliance with strategic approaches

The starting point for this appropriate assessment is to check that the proposed development can be mitigated by compliance with the strategic mitigation schemes set out above.

TABLE 1: Can the following strategic schemes mitigate the adverse effects of this planning application?

Impact: An additional 44 flats (27 net)

Mitigation Strategy	Applicable plan area	Scheme	Specific Project	Cost per home	This application is mitigated by	
Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework	BCP	SAMM	SAMMs measures undertaken by the Council and the Urban Heaths Partnership	£360/flat	✓	A payment of £9,720 towards strategic access management, education and monitoring
		SANG/HIP	Upton Country Park SANG	Based on specific mitigation project	✓	Mitigation projects paid for from the wider CIL pot.
Dorset Heathland Air Quality Strategy	BCP	Direct/ Indirect measures	Management of heathland, changing use of land, encouragement of modal shift / zero emission vehicles	Based on specific mitigation project	✓	Mitigation projects paid for from the wider CIL pot.
Poole Harbour Recreation	P	SAMM	Delivery of harbourside mitigation measures	£129/flat	✓	A payment of £3,483 towards strategic access management, education and monitoring.
		PHIP	Delivery of harbourside mitigation measures	Based on specific mitigation project	✓	Mitigation projects paid for from the wider CIL pot.
Nitrogen Reduction in Poole Harbour	P	Offsetting	Dorset Nature Park and other projects	£1,705 per house, £1,164 per flat	✓	Mitigation projects paid for from the wider CIL pot.

Does the development plan, applicant's evidence or the Council's advisors indicate that additional bespoke mitigation measures are necessary? **No**

If yes, complete Part 2. **If no, go to Part 3.**

Part 2: Bespoke Mitigation Requirements

Table 2 sets out particular issues and mitigation measures that are additional to those covered in Table 1 and are not therefore covered by strategic mitigation schemes. These issues were highlighted by the development plan, applicant's evidence or the Council's advisors.

TABLE 2: What bespoke measures mitigate the adverse effects of this planning application?

Issue	Proposed Mitigation measures
NHS	£8000.00 towards local primary care services
BNG Monitoring	£5,048 to cover 30 years of monitoring

Have the proposed mitigation measures above been agreed with Natural England as providing effective mitigation and will be secured by legal agreement to enable a conclusion of no effect?
N/A

Part 3: Conclusion

Based on the assessment undertaken in Table 1 and if relevant Table 2, the Council is able to assess the application against the designated sites as follows:

Designated site affected	Document setting out adverse effect and mitigation strategy	Compliance with mitigation requirements		Confirmation that applicant has avoided / mitigated adverse effects on integrity for all features secured through the payment of CIL/S111/S106 and where necessary legal measures, enabling adherence to the relevant mitigation strategy
		Table 1	Table 2	
Dorset Heathlands SPA, Dorset Heathlands Ramsar, Dorset Heaths SAC, Dorset Heaths (Purbeck & Wareham) & Studland Dunes SAC	Dorset Heathlands Planning Framework	✓	yes	Yes Mitigation secured via S106 Agreement
Poole Harbour SPA, Poole Harbour Ramsar	Poole Harbour Recreation	✓	yes	Yes Mitigation secured via S106 Agreement
	Nitrogen Reduction in Poole Harbour	✓	n/a	Yes Mitigation secured via CIL

Conclusion

The Council as Competent Authority can therefore conclude that following appropriate assessment and with the necessary mitigation measures secured, there will be no adverse effect on the integrity of the designated sites identified above.

Signatures

Case officer signature D. Henry

Date 17/10/2025